Science and Technology Research Partnership for Sustainable Development Program Integrated Study on Mitigation of Multimodal Disasters Caused by Ejection of Volcanic Products



Recent two distinct eruptions in Indonesia: Kelud 2014 and Sinabung 2013-15

S. Nakada, F. Maeno, M. Yoshimoto, N. Hokanishi, Y. Suzuki, T. Shimano, M. Iguchi, T. Ohkura, A. Zaennudin, M. Hendrasto

Result on Kelud

- We clarified the sequence of the 2014
 Plinian event at Kelud based on eruptive
 deposits, and estimated some key
 physical parameters controlling eruption
 dynamics.
- The plinian phase was preceded by partial disruption of lava dome and generation of energetic pyroclastic density currents directed to NNE.
- Lava dome was completely disrupted during the climactic phase.



Distribution of pyroclastic density current deposits. Hazard map by (Mulyana et al., 2004). Shaded area: potentially affected by pyroclastic flows, lava flows, eruption lahar and rain lahars.

Lava dome acted as a plug, and significantly affected the eruption processes.



Fig. 1 Nakada et al.









Isopach map of fallout tephra and volume estimation





WorldView-2 image 19 May 2014

Area affected by PDCs











Uprooted and fallen trees and the Plinian pumice fall deposited on them

Pyroclastic Density Current (blast) before fallout in NE of crater

Top surface

Unit C Ash fall layers

Plinian fallout

Pyroclastic density current (PDC) deposit

Pre-eruption soil

15/11/10

Unit A

Unit B

Fallout and pumice-rich pyroclastic flow deposits



Deposits on the western slope of Kelud volcano

Valley-filling pumiceous pyroclastic density current with flow lobes in W of Kelud.

Chronology of the 2014 Kelud eruption

Geological and witness observations



Crater camera and seismometers were destroyed at 10:40-45 pm.

Pyroclastic density currents directed to NNE, blowing off lava dome and down numerous trees. # Plinian column rose up
> 20 km (~11:15 pm),
and began to spread
umbrella could.

Lava dome completely destroyed.

Valley-filled pumice-rich pyroclastic density currents generated by columncollapse.

The eruption ended around ~1:00 am (?).

Observation from broadband seismometers (GEOFON) (Takeo A. et al., 2014)

Generation of acoustic wave at 10:46 pm. # 2 hours event with acoustic waves from 11:02 pm.# Rayleigh wave generation from 11:15 pm.

Event tree at Kelud



Event tree of Sinabung

The basic model was shown in 2011.





Lava complex extending on SE slope



TERRASAR-X (Jan 18, 2014)

KAWAH G. SINABUNG THE CRATER OF MT. SINABUNG 98°23'55"E

Centre for Remote Imaging, Sensing and Processing (CRISP) (Feb. 18, 2014)



Pleiades image (March 14, 2015)





Still active

Status Gunung Api di Indonesia Level 4 (AWAS) since June 2, 2015







Temporal change in growth pattern of lava complex



Eruption sequence

1. Intrusion of lava at the summit

2. Lava dome/flow growth with frequent partial collapse (PDCs).

3. Lava flow growth in gentle slope

4. Endogenous growth (swelling of lava in the upper part) with partial collapse (PDCs).

5. Dome growth to the south with partial collapse to generate largest PDCs, though low discharge rate.

All data left are from CVGHM

Measurement of lava complex at Sinabung



Laser distance meter was very useful to catch the volume change of the growing lava complex.







Devastation by pyroclastic density currents



Chemical monitoring of eruption products





Sinabung (2013-15+) vs. Unzen (1991-95)



Length/height (L/H) of major pyroclastic flows at Unzen W.Fernadez (1999MS) JICA training course report



Summary of Sinabung

- The present eruption is very close to the 9-10th Century eruption in terms of lava dome/flow growth, the area of eruption, and magma chemistry.
- Magma discharge rate decreased with time, which is in harmony with the decreasing deflation monitored with GPS.
- Pyroclastic density currents frequently occurred in the earliest stage and the later-half, endogenous stage, when hybrid events increased.
- Though the discharge rate had declined in the latest stage, pyroclastic density currents traveled in longer distances due to the elevated starting point, compared with in the earliest stage.
- Chemical monitoring of volcanic ash is useful to catch the eruption style and magma chemistry changes.
- Many similarity with the Unzen 1991-95 eruption.

Summary of eruptions in two volcanoes

| | Kelud 2014 | Kelud 2007-8 | Sinabung |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Eruption style | Plinian event | Lava dome | Lava flow complex |
| Magma chemistry | Basaltic andesite (56% SiO2) | Basaltic andesite (56% SiO2) | Andesite (57-59% SiO2) |
| Precursory phreatic event | Non | Yes? | Yes |
| Total erupted volume (DRE) | ~0.2 km³ | 0.027 km ^{3*} | >0.12 km ³ |
| Discharge rate | 2~4x10 ⁴ m ³ /s | ~5 m³/s** | 6 to 0 m ³ /s |
| Magma ascent speed prior-to-eruption | ~2 km/d | 0.1 km/d*** | ~0.04 km/d |

* According to M. Hendrasto. ** Assuming 2 months for dome growth. *** Siebert et al. (2011).

-Magma ascent speeds prior to eruption and discharge rates are clearly different between explosive and effusive eruptions.

Summary at Kelud and Sinabung



Background based on Kozono et al. (2013)

- Two eruptions were volcanic events contrasting each other, that is, explosive vs. less-explosive, short vs. long-lasting at repeating vs. long-dormant volcanoes, respectively.
- We tried to do real-time estimation of eruption volumes for both eruptions in order to get the discharge rates, which is important to link to the warning system.
- Eruption scenarios for these volcanoes were made. Historical eruption records are important to forecast the future eruption volume, eruption scenario and disasters.

Terimakasih



End of my talk